

UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

The examiner of record, Daniel A. Hess, hereby corrects the record regarding case 09/663,832. On 12/31/2002, the Office sent an action including the following sentence in form PTO-1432:

"In a phone conversation on Monday, Dec. 23, applicant's representative, Mr. Dan Mahoney Jr indicated that the case has intentionally been allowed to go abandoned."

This sentence is erroneous in several regards. The notice of abandonment should instead read:

"Examiner Hess telephoned Mr. Meaney's office on December 23, 2002. The abandoned status of the case was confirmed."

Examiner Hess thanks the Mr. Meaney and his legal secretary, Ms. Sesma, for pointing out the errors. A fresh copy of PTO-1432 with the changes made is being furnished with this response.

cc: Daniel J. Meaney, Jr. Esg.
P.O. Box 22307
Santa Barbara Ct 93121

THIEN M. LE **PRIMARY EXAMINER**

proceeding.

?	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Abandonment	09/663,832	SMITH, MALCOLM G.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel A Hess	2876
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
This application is abandoned in view of:		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of I period for reply (including a total extension of time of 	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	·
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constite final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		empt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL- 		the statutory period of three months
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, wa), which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	ce of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	not been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req Allowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trai	nsmission dated), which is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. 	ne attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity under 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfe of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		se the period for seeking court review
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:		
Examiner Hess telephoned Mr. Meaney's office on confirmed.	December 23, 2002. The abandon	oned status of the case was
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdr	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTO-1432 (Rev. 04-01)